Short-Rotation Woody Biomass Sustainability Project: Pre- and Posttreatment Water Quality and Hydrology Data

Natalie A. Griffiths^{1*}, C. Rhett Jackson², Jeffrey J. McDonnell^{3,4}, Julian Klaus^{3,5}, Enhao Du², Menberu M. Bitew², Scott A. Raulerson², Allison M. Fortner⁶, Kevin L. Fouts², Kitty McCracken⁶, and Jana R. Phillips⁶.

*Data contact:

Natalie A. Griffiths | E-mail: griffithsna@ornl.gov | Phone: (865) 576-3457

Version	Changes made		
January 2016	Original version. Included pre-treatment water quality and hydrology data.		
	Data accompanied Griffiths et al. 2016 JGR-Biogeosciences.		
June 2017	Added post-treatment water quality data collected through October 2015		
	including pesticide data and water quality data from CFTs. Data accompanied		
	Griffiths et al. 2017 Forest Ecology and Management.		
January 2021	Added post-treatment water quality and hydrology data collected through the		
	end of the project (September 2018).		

Summary:

This dataset reports the pre- and post-treatment water quality and hydrology data from a watershed-scale experiment that evaluated the effects of growing short-rotation loblolly pine for bioenergy on water quality and quantity in the southeastern U.S. (Griffiths et al. 2019). The experiment took place on the Savannah River Site, near New Ellenton, South Carolina, USA. Beginning in 2010, water quality and hydrology were measured for two years in 3 watersheds (R, B, C). At the end of February 2012, 50% of two treatment watersheds (B, C) were harvested and loblolly pine seedlings were planted and managed for bioenergy (including multiple applications of herbicides and fertilizers) (Ferreira et al. 2020). Water samples were collected from stream water (weekly), riparian groundwater (monthly), groundwater beneath the uplands (monthly), throughfall (weekly), and trenches that collected shallow subsurface flow (during storms), and these data are available for the pre- and post-treatment periods. Water samples were also collected from three concentrated flow tracks that formed in watersheds B and C in the post-treatment period. Sample collection ended on or before September 30, 2018 (throughfall sample collection ended on September 27, 2017). Water samples were analyzed for nitrate-N, ammonium-N, total dissolved N, soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP), total dissolved P, and

¹Climate Change Science Institute and Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA 37831

²Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA 30602

³Global Institute for Water Security and School of Environment and Sustainability, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada S7N 3H5

⁴School of Geosciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland

⁵Luxembourg Institute of Science and Technology, Environmental Research and Innovation Department, Belvaux, Luxembourg

⁶Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA 37831

dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations, and water temperature and specific conductivity were measured in the field. Stream water samples only were analyzed for turbidity, and total suspended solid (beginning in 2013), total nitrogen, and total phosphorus concentrations. Select samples (usually collected seasonally) were analyzed for pesticide concentrations (until May 2016). Water samples were also analyzed for stable isotopes of nitrate ($\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$), and data are available for the pre-treatment period. Stream flow and trench flow were measured every 10-15 minutes, and these data are available for the pre- and post-treatment periods. Stream flow data are summarized as daily mean discharge.

Associated manuscripts and reports:

The pre-treatment data were presented in a manuscript (Griffiths et al. 2016) that utilized stable isotope of nitrate data to describe hydrological and biological drivers of watershed N cycling and sources of stream water nitrate in the 3 study watersheds.

Both the pre-treatment and post-treatment water quality data were presented in a manuscript (Griffiths et al. 2017) that examined the water quality responses to short-rotation pine production for bioenergy.

The overall findings from this project, including water quality results, are summarized in a comprehensive report (Griffiths et al. 2019).

- Griffiths, N.A., C.R. Jackson, J.J. McDonnell, J. Klaus, E. Du, and M.M. Bitew. 2016. Dual nitrate isotopes clarify the role of biological processing and hydrologic flow paths on nitrogen cycling in subtropical low-gradient watersheds. JGR-Biogeosciences 131:422-437.
- Griffiths, N.A., C.R. Jackson, M.M. Bitew, A.M. Fortner, K.L. Fouts, K. McCracken, and J.R. Phillips. 2017. Water quality effects of short-rotation pine management for bioenergy feedstocks in the southeastern United States. Forest Ecology and Management 400:181-198.
- Griffiths, N.A., C.R. Jackson, J.I. Blake, J. Jeffers, B. Rau, G. Starr, and K. Vaché. 2019. Environmental effects of short-rotation loblolly pine production for bioenergy and evaluation of current forestry best management practices. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/TM-2018/1055.

Acknowledgements: This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Bioenergy Technologies Office. Oak Ridge National Laboratory is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the US Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725.

Dataset description:

Study site:

This study took place in 3 watersheds in the National Environmental Research Park on the Department of Energy's Savannah River Site (SRS), near New Ellenton, South Carolina, USA. The study watersheds are located in the Upper Atlantic Coastal Plain, a region characterized by a

humid subtropical climate. Additional information on the climate, geology, and ecology of the SRS can be found in Kilgo and Blake (2005).

The three adjacent study watersheds (watershed R: 45 ha; watershed B: 169 ha; watershed C: 117 ha) are part of the larger Fourmile Branch watershed. The uplands have a flat topography (2-3% slope) and well-drained sandy soils with a loamy to clayey subsoil. Vegetation is primarily minimally managed pine in the uplands (*Pinus palustris*, *P. taiga*, and *P. elliottii*) and hardwoods in the riparian zones. Streams are characterized as blackwater (i.e., high dissolved organic matter concentrations), with intermittent flow and a sandy benthic substrate. A more detailed site description can be found in Bitew et al. (2020), Du et al. (2016), Griffiths et al. (2016, 2017, 2019), Jackson et al. (2016), and Klaus et al. (2015).

Sampling methods:

The pre-treatment period was designated as January 1, 2010 through February 27, 2012, and the post-treatment period was designated from February 28, 2012 to September 30, 2018. Water samples were collected from streams, riparian groundwater, groundwater beneath the uplands, interflow, concentrated flow tracks, and throughfall. The latitude and longitude of each sampling location is reported in a datafile. Samples were not collected when the sites were dry (e.g., stream water sampling stopped because of a regional drought, no samples collected from throughfall samplers when there was no or little throughfall in a given week, riparian groundwater wells did not have enough water to collect samples, etc.).

Stream water samples were collected weekly at the outlet of each watershed and at an ephemerally flowing location upstream of the outlet. Riparian groundwater samples were collected monthly from two wells that were located near the watershed outlet ('intermittent'), and two wells that were located upstream ('ephemeral'). Groundwater was sampled monthly from 19 wells that were located across the 3 watersheds and within the larger Fourmile watershed. The well names (FHR = forest hydrology research) and well depths (from surface soil to well screen) were:

- Watershed R (FHR004 [13.1 m], 005 [13.0 m], 010 [6.4 m])
- Watershed B (FHR014 [14.2 m], 014D [25.6 m], 015 [16.6 m], 015D [37.6 m])
- Watershed C (FHR001 [3.9 m], 011D [25.6 m], 012 [10.6 m], 013 [19.3 m], 013D [43.6 m], 016 [13.0 m], 016D [28.6 m])
- Fourmile watershed (FHR003 [2.7 m], 006 [10.5 m], 007 [5.4 m], 008 [6.8 m], 009 [12.4 m])

Shallow subsurface flow (i.e., interflow) was sampled from 5 trenches (1 per watershed in the established pine forest, and 1 each in watersheds B and C installed at the edge of the loblolly pine plantations and the riparian zone) that were constructed on contour within a hillslope. Multiple drains collected interflow from each trench face, and the drains emptied into v-notch weir boxes located downslope. A more detailed description of the trenches can be found in Du et al. (2016) and Jackson et al. (2016). Throughfall was collected in a funnel (200 cm²) that was connected to a 3.8 L amber polypropylene bottle. Throughfall collectors were located under the canopy (3 throughfall collectors in watershed B, 3 in watershed C, 4 in watershed R). Sampling occurred weekly (when there was sufficient volume for water chemistry analysis), and water in all throughfall collectors in a given watershed was composited before collecting a sample. Pollen

and other debris was sometimes present in the sample, resulting in high nutrient concentrations. Because the presence of debris was not always noted, all data are included in this dataset. Sampling of concentrated flow tracks (CFTs) occurred weekly until the CFT dried up.

The date and time of sample collection was recorded for all samples. Time was reported in EST; however, it is possible that EDT was recorded in error prior to October 5, 2015. In the field, water temperature and specific conductivity were measured on all samples using a hand-held temperature and conductivity probe and turbidity was measured on stream water samples using a portable turbidity meter. Beginning on April 23, 2012, specific conductivity was recorded; prior to this date, conductivity was recorded.

Water samples were brought back to the laboratory on ice, and filtered (0.7 μ m) into clean polyethylene bottles (for nutrient and pesticide analyses) or amber glass vials (for DOC analysis). Stream water samples collected for total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total suspended solid (TSS) analyses were not filtered. Samples for all nutrient analyses were frozen at -20 °C until analysis, and samples for TSS, DOC, and pesticides were refrigerated at 4°C until analysis.

Stream flow and trench flow:

Stream flow was measured every 15-minutes at a two-foot H-flume installed at the outlet of each watershed. Water level was measured using a pressure transducer and automated water sampler (ISCO 6712, Teledyne ISCO, Lincoln, NE), and stream discharge was calculated based on the known configuration of the H-flume. Data were reported as mean daily discharge (L/s).

Trench flow (i.e., interflow) was measured every 10-minutes at multiple v-notch weir boxes that collected water from the trenched hillslope. Water level in each v-notch weir box was measured using a capacitance probe (Odyssey, Dataflow System, New Zealand), and outflow from all v-notch weir boxes for a given trench was summed to give the total hillslope interflow (L/s).

Chemical analysis:

Nitrate concentrations were measured using the cadmium reduction method, ammonium concentrations were measured using the phenol hypochlorite method, and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentrations were measured using the molybdate-blue method (APHA 2005) on a SEAL Analytical AA3 autoanalyzer. Filtered water samples were analyzed for total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) and unfiltered samples were analyzed for total nitrogen (TN) using the combustion oxidation and chemiluminescence detection method on a Shimadzu TOC-L CSH/CSN analyzer. Filtered water samples were analyzed for total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) and unfiltered water samples were analyzed for total phosphorus (TP) using persulfate oxidation follow by the molybdate-blue method (APHA 2005) on a SEAL Analytical AA3 autoanalyzer. There were instances when a sample's TP concentrations were lower than TDP concentrations (same for TN vs TDN, TDN vs dissolved inorganic N, TDP vs SRP, etc.), possibly due to measurement and sampling uncertainty; these data were included in the dataset. All dissolved constituents (ammonium, nitrate, SRP, TDN, TDP) were analyzed on the same sample. In some instances, a sample was not analyzed for TDN as this was the last analysis conducted, and there was occasionally not enough water left for this analysis. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations were measured using the high-temperature combustion catalytic oxidation method on a Shimadzu TOC-L CSH/CSN analyzer. Total suspended solid (TSS) concentrations were measured using a multi-step filtration and gravimetric technique (APHA 2005). Stable isotopes of nitrate were measured using the denitrifier method with *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* bacteria (Sigman et al. 2001, Casciotti et al. 2002) at the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility. Analysis was conducted on all water samples with a nitrate concentration >14 μg N/L. The ratios of ¹⁵N/¹⁴N and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O in N₂O were measured on a Thermo Finnigan Gas Bench and PreCon trace gas concentration system with a ThermoScientific Delta V Plus isotope-ratio mass spectrometer. The pesticides sulfometuron methyl, imazapyr, glyphosate, and fipronil were analyzed using Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography at the OMIC USA Inc., analytical facility. See Griffiths et al. (2016, 2017) for additional details on analytical methods.

Data files and data dictionary:

All data are in 11 comma separated (.csv) files. Missing numerical values (including samples that were not collected) are reported as -9999.

File 1: SRWBS_sampling locations.csv

File 2: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_throughfall_chem.csv

File 3: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_interflow_chem.csv

File 4: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_groundwater_chem.csv

File 5: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_rip_groundwater_chem.csv

File 6: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_stream_chem.csv

File 7: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_pesticides.csv

File 8: SRWBS_post-treatment_CFT_chem.csv

File 9: SRWBS pre-treatment nitrate isotopes.csv

File 10: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_stream_flow.csv

File 11: SRWBS pre-treatment trench flow.csv

File 1: SRWBS_sampling locations.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
1	WATERSHED		Watershed (R, B, C, or FOURMILE).	
2	SAMPLE_TY PE		Type of water sampled (groundwater_well, interflow, riparian_groundwater_well, streamwater, throughfall_collector).	
3	SITE_ID		Primary ID for sampling location.	
4	SITE ID 2		Secondary ID for sampling location (Well 1 or Well 2 for riparian groundwater wells)	
5	LONGITUDE	Degrees	Longitude of sampling location	
6	LATITUDE	Degrees	Latitude of sampling location	

File 2: SRWBS pre- and post-treatment throughfall chem.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
1	DATE	YYYY-MM- DD	Sample collection date.	
<u> </u>	DATE	טט	Sample collection date. Sample collection time (24-h	
2	TIME	HH:MM	clock).	
3	WATERSHED		Watershed (R, B, or C).	
4	THR1_VOL	mL	Volume of water in throughfall collector.	Water poured into a graduated cylinder and volume was measured. Volume was reported to the nearest 5 mL. Small water volumes sometimes reported as ' <x '="" as="" entire="" full,="" jug="" reported="" sampling="" the="" value'.="" volumes="" was="" water="" were="" when=""> 4480'.</x>
5	THR2_VOL	mL	Volume of water in throughfall collector.	Water poured into a graduated cylinder and volume was measured. Volume was reported to the nearest 5 mL. Small water volumes sometimes reported as ' <x '="" as="" entire="" full,="" jug="" reported="" sampling="" the="" value'.="" volumes="" was="" water="" were="" when=""> 4480'.</x>
6	THR3_VOL	mL	Volume of water in throughfall collector.	Water poured into a graduated cylinder and volume was measured. Volume was reported to the nearest 5 mL. Small water volumes sometimes reported as ' <x '="" as="" entire="" full,="" jug="" reported="" sampling="" the="" value'.="" volumes="" was="" water="" were="" when=""> 4480'.</x>
7	THR4_VOL	mL	Volume of water in throughfall collector (in R watershed only).	Water poured into a graduated cylinder and volume was measured. Volume was reported to the nearest 5 mL. Small water volumes sometimes reported as ' <x '="" as="" entire="" full,="" jug="" reported="" sampling="" the="" value'.="" volumes="" was="" water="" were="" when=""> 4480'.</x>
8	NH4_CONC	µg N/L	Ammonium-N concentration.	Ammonium-N concentrations were measured using the phenol-hypochlorite method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
9	NO3_CONC	μg N/L	Nitrate-N concentration.	Nitrate-N concentrations were measured using the cadmium reduction method. Detection limit is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit (DL) are reported.
10	TDN_CONC	μg N/L	Total dissolved N concentration.	Total dissolved N concentrations were measured using the combustion oxidation and chemiluminescence detection method.
11	SRP_CONC	μg P/L	Soluble reactive phosphorus concentration.	Soluble reactive phosphorus concentrations were measured using the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L.

				Concentrations below the detection limit are
				reported.
				Total dissolved P concentrations were
				measured using persulfate oxidation followed
				by the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit
				(DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the
12	TDP_CONC	μg P/L	Total dissolved P concentration.	detection limit are reported.
				Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were
			Dissolved organic carbon	measured using the high-temperature
13	DOC_CONC	mg C/L	concentration.	combustion catalytic oxidation method.
				DRY = assumed to be dry. When DRY, no
				samples were collected.
				WATER = water present. Most of the time,
				enough water was available for collection, but
	SAMPLER_S			sometimes not enough water was available for
14	TATUS		DRY or WATER	analyses so no samples were collected.
				ALL_SAMPLES = all samples collected.
				NO_SAMPLE = no sample collected.
				NO_NUT_SAMPLE = no nutrient sample
				collected.
				NO_DOC_SAMPLE = no DOC sample
				collected.
			ALL_SAMPLES,	In some instances, a sample was collected, but
			NO_SAMPLE,	not analyzed for TDN as this was the last
	SAMPLE_ST		NO_NUT_SAMPLE, or	analysis conducted, and there was occasionally
15	ATUS		NO_DOC_SAMPLE	not enough water left for this analysis.

File 3: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_interflow_chem.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
		YYYY-MM-		
1	DATE	DD	Sample collection date.	
			Sample collection time (24-h	
2	TIME	HH:MM	clock).	
			Watershed (R, B, or C) where the	
			original trenches were located.	
			"NEW_B" and "NEW_C" were	
			trenches that were installed at the	
•	TDENOU ID		interface of the short-rotation pine	
3	TRENCH_ID		plantation and the riparian zone.	
	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		Water temperature of interflow	
	WATER_TEM		measured in the field when the	
4	Р	°C	water sample was collected.	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
			Specific conductivity of interflow	
-	OD OOND	0/	measured in the field when the	Hand hald to consections and a subject to constant
5	SP_COND	μS/cm	water sample was collected.	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
				Ammonium-N concentrations were measured
				using the phenol-hypochlorite method.
				Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are
6	NH4 CONC	ua NI/I	Ammonium-N concentration.	
0	NH4_CONC	μg N/L	Ammonium-in concentration.	reported.
				Nitrate-N concentrations were measured using the cadmium reduction method. Detection limit
				is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the
7	NO3 CONC	μg N/L	Nitrate-N concentration.	detection limit (DL) are reported.
1	NO3_CONC	µg IN/L	Milate-N concentration.	Total dissolved N concentrations were
				measured using the combustion oxidation and
8	TDN CONC	μg N/L	Total dissolved N concentration.	chemiluminescence detection method.
	1511_00110	MA INIT	Total dissolved in concentration.	Soluble reactive phosphorus concentrations
				were measured using the molybdate-blue
				method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L.
			Soluble reactive phosphorus	Concentrations below the detection limit are
9	SRP_CONC	μg P/L	concentration.	reported.
-		F3 · /=		Total dissolved P concentrations were
10	TDP_CONC	μg P/L	Total dissolved P concentration.	measured using persulfate oxidation followed

				by the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
11	DOC_CONC	mg C/L	Dissolved organic carbon concentration.	Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were measured using the high-temperature combustion catalytic oxidation method.
	TRENCH_ST			FLOW = enough water was flowing out of the trench pipe(s) that water samples could be collected. NO_FLOW = no water flowing from the trench pipe(s) or a very minimal amount of water (i.e., slow drip) was flowing and thus water samples
12	ATUS		FLOW or NO_FLOW	could not be collected.

File 4: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_groundwater_chem.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
1	DATE	YYYY-MM- DD	Sample collection date.	
1	DATE		Sample collection time (24-h	
2	TIME	HH:MM	clock).	
3	WATERSHED		Watershed (R, B, C, or FOURMILE).	
			Well ID (FHR001, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008, 009, 010, 11D, 12, 013, 13D, 014, 14D, 015, 15D,	
4	WELL_ID		016, 16D).	
_	WATER_TEM		Water temperature of groundwater measured in the field when the water sample was	
5	Р	°C	collected. Specific conductivity of	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
			groundwater measured in the field when the water sample was	
6	SP_COND	μS/cm	collected.	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
				Ammonium-N concentrations were measured using the phenol-hypochlorite method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are
7	NH4_CONC	μg N/L	Ammonium-N concentration.	reported.
8	NO3 CONC	μg N/L	Nitrate-N concentration.	Nitrate-N concentrations were measured using the cadmium reduction method. Detection limit is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit (DL) are reported.
0	1103_00110	μg τν/L	Initiate-in concentration.	Total dissolved N concentrations were measured using the combustion oxidation and
9	TDN_CONC	μg N/L	Total dissolved N concentration.	chemiluminescence detection method.
10	SRP CONC	μg P/L	Soluble reactive phosphorus concentration.	Soluble reactive phosphorus concentrations were measured using the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
11	TDP_CONC	μg P/L	Total dissolved P concentration.	Total dissolved P concentrations were measured using persulfate oxidation followed by the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
•		1.9=		Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were
12	DOC_CONC	mg C/L	Dissolved organic carbon concentration.	measured using the high-temperature combustion catalytic oxidation method.
14	SAMPLE_ST ATUS		ALL_SAMPLES, NO_SAMPLE, NO_NUT_SAMPLE, or NO_DOC_SAMPLE	ALL_SAMPLES = all samples collected. NO_SAMPLE = no sample collected. NO_NUT_SAMPLE = no nutrient sample collected. NO_DOC_SAMPLE = no DOC sample collected.

	In some instances, a sample was collected, but not analyzed for TDN as this was the last analysis conducted, and there was occasionally not enough water left for this analysis.
--	--

File 5: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_rip_groundwater_chem.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
1	DATE	YYYY-MM- DD	Comple collection data	
1	DATE	טט	Sample collection date. Sample collection time (24-h	
2	TIME	HH:MM	clock).	
3	WATERSHED		Watershed (R, B, or C).	
4	SITE ID		Ephemeral (E) or Intermittent (I) site.	
5	WELL_ID		Well ID (W1, W2); two wells per site per watershed.	
6	WATER_TEM	°C	Temperature of riparian groundwater measured in the field when the water sample was collected.	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
7	SP_COND	μS/cm	Specific conductivity of riparian groundwater measured in the field when the water sample was collected.	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
8	NH4_CONC	μg N/L	Ammonium-N concentration.	Ammonium-N concentrations were measured using the phenol-hypochlorite method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
9	NO3 CONC	μg N/L	Nitrate-N concentration.	Nitrate-N concentrations were measured using the cadmium reduction method. Detection limit is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit (DL) are reported.
				Total dissolved N concentrations were
10	TDN_CONC	μg N/L	Total dissolved N concentration.	measured using the combustion oxidation and chemiluminescence detection method.
11	SRP CONC	µg P/L	Soluble reactive phosphorus concentration.	Soluble reactive phosphorus concentrations were measured using the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
12	TDP_CONC	μg P/L	Total dissolved P concentration.	Total dissolved P concentrations were measured using persulfate oxidation followed by the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
12	DOC CONC	ma C/I	Dissolved organic carbon	Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were measured using the high-temperature
13	WELL_STAT	mg C/L	Concentration.	combustion catalytic oxidation method. DRY = assumed to be dry (or not enough water present for any analysis). When DRY, no samples were collected and no field measurements (temperature and specific conductivity) were taken. WATER = water samples may or may not have been collected (if not enough water for a sample, water too muddy to sample), but field measurements (temperature, specific conductivity) most often collected. Occasionally, no water quality data were collected but water was present because of
14	US SAMPLE_ST		DRY or WATER	equipment malfunctions. ALL SAMPLES = all samples collected
15	ATUS		ALL_SAMPLES,	NO_SAMPLES = all samples collected

NO_SAMPLE, NO_NUT_SAMPLE, or NO_DOC_SAMPLE	NO_NUT_SAMPLE = no nutrient sample collected NO_DOC_SAMPLE = no DOC sample collected
	In some instances, a sample was collected, but not analyzed for TDN as this was the last analysis conducted, and there was occasionally not enough water left for this analysis.

File 6: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_stream_chem.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
1	DATE	YYYY-MM- DD	Sample collection date.	
1	DATE		Sample collection time (24-h	
2	TIME	HH:MM	clock).	
3	WATERSHED		Watershed (R, B, or C).	
4	SITE_ID		Ephemeral (E) or Intermittent (I) site.	
-	SITE_ID		Water level by flume at outlet of	
	WATER_LEV		each watershed (at intermittent	Visual measurement of water level based on
5	EL	cm	sites only).	reading of a permanently installed staff gauge.
				Calculated based on the H-flume equation and
6	DISCHARGE	L/s	Stream discharge.	water depth.
			Temperature of stream water	
	VAVATED TENA		measured in the field when the	
7	WATER_TEM	00	water quality sample was	Lieu di bioli ta anno anatoma anno anno anno anno anno anno anno a
7	P	°C	collected. Specific conductivity of stream	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
			water measured in the field when	
8	SP_COND	μS/cm	the water sample was collected.	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
	OI _OOND	рологи	the water sample was collected.	Ammonium-N concentrations were measured
				using the phenol-hypochlorite method.
				Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L.
				Concentrations below the detection limit are
9	NH4_CONC	μg N/L	Ammonium-N concentration.	reported.
				Nitrate-N concentrations were measured using
				the cadmium reduction method. Detection limit
				is 2.0 μg N/L. Concentrations below the
10	NO3_CONC	μg N/L	Nitrate-N concentration.	detection limit (DL) are reported.
				Total dissolved N concentrations were
4.4	TON COMO	NI/I	Total disastrad N. sansantustian	measured using the combustion oxidation and
11	TDN_CONC	μg N/L	Total dissolved N concentration.	chemiluminescence detection method. Soluble reactive phosphorus concentrations
				were measured using the molybdate-blue
				method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L.
			Soluble reactive phosphorus	Concentrations below the detection limit are
12	SRP CONC	μg P/L	concentration.	reported.
	_			Total dissolved P concentrations were
				measured using persulfate oxidation followed
				by the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit
				(DL) is 2.0 μg N/L. Concentrations below the
13	TDP_CONC	μg P/L	Total dissolved P concentration.	detection limit are reported.
				Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were
4.4	DOC CONO		Dissolved organic carbon	measured using the high-temperature
14	DOC_CONC	mg C/L	concentration.	combustion catalytic oxidation method.
				Total nitrogen-N concentrations were measured using the combustion oxidation and
15	TN_CONC	μg N/L	Total nitrogen concentration.	chemiluminescence detection method.
10	114_00140	µg IV/L	rotar mirogen concentration.	Total phosphorus-P concentrations were
				measured using the persulfate digestion and
				molybdate-blue method. Detection limit (DL) is
				2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection
16	TP_CONC	μg P/L	Total phosphorus concentration.	limit are reported.

				Measured in the field using a portable turbidity
17	TURBIDITY	NTU	Turbidity of stream water.	meter.
				Total suspended solids measured on unfiltered
			Total suspended solid	samples via a multi-step filtration and
18	TSS_CONC	mg/L	concentration.	gravimetric technique.
	STREAM ST			FLOW = water level >0 or water chemistry sample(s) were collected. NO_FLOW = no water chemistry samples were collected and water level was 0 or was not
19	ATUS		FLOW or NO_FLOW	measured.

File 7: SRWBS pre- and post-treatment pesticides.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
1	DATE	YYYY-MM- DD	Sample collection date.	
1	DATE	<u>טט</u>	Sample collection time (24-h	
2	TIME	HH:MM	clock).	
3	WATERSHED		Watershed (R, B, C, or Fourmile).	
4	WATER_SAM PLED		Sampling location (CFT [concentrated flow track], gw [groundwater], rip_gw [riparian groundwater], stream [stream water grab sample], stream_storm [sequential sampling of stream water during a storm], interflow).	
5	SITE ID		Site ID for CFT (CFT0, CFT3), groundwater (FHR001, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008, 009, 010, 011, 11D, 012, 013, 13D, 014, 14D, 015, 15D, 016, 16D), riparian groundwater (I = intermittent, E = ephemeral), stream (I = intermittent, E = ephemeral).	
6	GLYPHOSAT E CONC	µg/L	Glyphosate concentration.	Glyphosate concentration was measured using Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography at the OMIC USA Inc., analytical facility. Detection limit was 2 µg /L.
7	IMAZAPYR_C ONC	μg/L	Imazapyr concentration.	Imazapyr concentration was measured using Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography at the OMIC USA Inc., analytical facility. Detection limit was 2 µg /L.
8	SULFO_CON C	μg/L	Sulfometuron methyl concentration.	Sulfometuron methyl concentration was measured using Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography at the OMIC USA Inc., analytical facility. Detection limit was 1 µg /L. A level of 'trace' is a qualitative result below the detection limit.
9	FIPRONIL_C ONC	μg/L	Fipronil concentration.	Fipronil concentration was measured using Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography at the OMIC USA Inc., analytical facility. Detection limit was 1 µg /L.

File 8: SRWBS_post-treatment_CFT_chem.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
1	DATE	YYYY-MM- DD	Sample collection date.	
2	TIME	HH:MM	Sample collection time (24-h clock).	

3	WATERSHED		Watershed (B or C).	
4	CFT_ID		CFT ID (CFT0, CFT3, CFT4).	
5	WATER_TEM P	°C	Temperature of CFT water measured in the field when the water sample was collected.	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
6	SP_COND	μS/cm	Specific conductivity of CFT water measured in the field when the water sample was collected.	Hand-held temperature and conductivity probe.
7	NH4_CONC	μg N/L	Ammonium-N concentration.	Ammonium-N concentrations were measured using the phenol-hypochlorite method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
8	NO3 CONC		Nitrate-N concentration.	Nitrate-N concentrations were measured using the cadmium reduction method. Detection limit is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the
9	TDN_CONC	µg N/L µg N/L	Total dissolved nitrogen concentration.	detection limit (DL) are reported. Total dissolved N concentrations were measured using the combustion oxidation and chemiluminescence detection method.
10	SRP_CONC	μg P/L	Soluble reactive phosphorus concentration.	Soluble reactive phosphorus concentrations were measured using the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
11	TDP_CONC	μg P/L	Total dissolved phosphorus concentration.	Total dissolved P concentrations were measured using persulfate oxidation followed by the molybdate-blue method. Detection limit (DL) is 2.0 µg N/L. Concentrations below the detection limit are reported.
12	DOC_CONC	mg C/L	Dissolved organic carbon concentration.	Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were measured using the high-temperature combustion catalytic oxidation method.
13	CFT_STATUS		DRY or WATER	DRY = CFT was checked, but no sample was collected if the CFT was dry. WATER = water was present in the CFT and a sample was collected.

File 9: SRWBS pre-treatment nitrate isotopes.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
		YYYY-MM-		
1	DATE	DD	Sample collection date.	
2	TIME	HH:MM	Sample collection time (24-h clock).	
3	WATERSHED		Watershed (R, B, or C).	
4	WATER_SAM PLED		Sampling location (gw [groundwater], rip_gw [riparian groundwater], stream [stream water grab sample], throughfall, interflow).	
5	SITE_ID		Site ID for groundwater (FHR001, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008, 009, 010, 011, 11D, 012, 013, 13D, 014, 14D, 015, 15D, 016, 16D), riparian groundwater (I = intermittent, E = ephemeral), stream (I = intermittent, E = ephemeral).	
6	SITE ID 2		Riparian groundwater well ID (W1, W2).	
7	D15N	%0	Stable isotope of nitrate δ^{15} N.	Stable isotope of nitrate δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O were measured using the denitrifier method with <i>Pseudomonas aureofaciens</i> bacteria at the UC

				Davis Stable Isotope Facility. All samples with a nitrate concentration >14 µg N/L were analyzed for stable isotopes of nitrate.
8	D18O	‰	Stable isotope of nitrate δ ¹⁸ O.	Same as above.

File 10: SRWBS_pre- and post-treatment_stream_flow.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
		YYYY-MM-		
1	DATE	DD	Measurement date.	
2	B_DISCHARG E	L/s	Mean daily discharge measured at the outlet of the B watershed.	Stream flow was measured every 15-minutes at a two-foot H-flume installed at the outlet of each watershed. Water level was measured using a pressure transducers and automated water sampler (ISCO 6712, Teledyne ISCO, Lincoln, NE), and stream discharge was calculated based on the known configuration of the H-flume.
3	C_DISCHAR GE	L/s	Mean daily discharge measured at the outlet of the C watershed.	Stream flow was measured every 15-minutes at a two-foot H-flume installed at the outlet of each watershed. Water level was measured using a pressure transducers and automated water sampler (ISCO 6712, Teledyne ISCO, Lincoln, NE), and stream discharge was calculated based on the known configuration of the H-flume.
4	R_DISCHAR GE	L/s	Mean daily discharge measured at the outlet of the R watershed.	Stream flow was measured every 15-minutes at a two-foot H-flume installed at the outlet of each watershed. Water level was measured using a pressure transducers and automated water sampler (ISCO 6712, Teledyne ISCO, Lincoln, NE), and stream discharge was calculated based on the known configuration of the H-flume.

File 11: SRWBS_pre-treatment_trench_flow.csv

Column	Heading	Units/ Format	Description	Measurement Method
		YYYY-MM-		
1	DATE	DD	Measurement date.	
2	TIME	HH:MM	Measurement time (24-h clock).	
3	WATERSHED		Watershed (R or C).	
			laterflavora a coura di consulto	Trench flow (i.e., interflow) was measured every 10-minutes at multiple v-notch weir boxes that collected water from the trenched hillslope. Water level in each v-notch weir box was measured using a capacitance probe (Odyssey, Dataflow System, New Zealand),
	DIGGLIADOF	. ,	Interflow measured every 10-	and outflow from all v-notch weir boxes for a
4	DISCHARGE	L/s	minutes.	given trench was summed.

References:

APHA. 2005. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 21st edition, American Public Health Association, Washington DC, USA.

Bitew, M.M., C.R. Jackson, D.C. Goodrich, S.E. Younger, N.A. Griffiths, K.B. Vaché, and B. Rau. 2020. Dynamic domain kinematic modelling for predicting interflow over leaky impeding layers. Hydrological Processes 34:2895-2910.

- Casciotti, K.L., D.M. Sigman, M. Galanter Hastings, J.K. Böhlke, and A. Hilkert. 2002. Measurement of the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate in seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method. Analytical Chemistry 74:4905-4912.
- Du, E., C.R. Jackson, J. Klaus, J.J. McDonnell, N.A. Griffiths, M.F. Williamson, J.L. Greco, and M. Bitew. 2016. Interflow dynamics on a low relief forested hillslope: lots of fill, little spill. Journal of Hydrology 534:648-658.
- Ferreira, G.W.D., B.M. Rau, and D.P. Aubrey. 2020. Herbicide, fertilization, and planting density effects on intensively managed loblolly pine early stand development. Forest Ecology and Management 472:118206.
- Griffiths, N.A., C.R. Jackson, J.J. McDonnell, J. Klaus, E. Du, and M.M. Bitew. 2016. Dual nitrate isotopes clarify the role of biological processing and hydrologic flowpaths on nitrogen cycling in subtropical low-gradient watersheds. JGR-Biogeosciences 131:422-437
- Griffiths, N.A., C.R. Jackson, M.M. Bitew, A.M. Fortner, K.L. Fouts, K. McCracken, and J.R. Phillips. 2017. Water quality effects of short-rotation pine management for bioenergy feedstocks in the southeastern United States. Forest Ecology and Management 400:181-198.
- Griffiths, N.A., C.R. Jackson, J.I. Blake, J. Jeffers, B. Rau, G. Starr, and K. Vaché. 2019. Environmental effects of short-rotation loblolly pine production for bioenergy and evaluation of current forestry best management practices. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/TM-2018/1055.
- Jackson, C.R., E. Du., J. Klaus, N.A. Griffiths, M. Bitew, and J.J. McDonnell. 2016. Interactions among hydraulic conductivity distributions, subsurface topography, and transport thresholds revealed by a multitracer hillslope irrigation experiment. Water Resources Research 52:6186-6206.
- Kilgo, J.C., and J.I. Blake. 2005. Ecology and Management of a Forested Landscape: Fifty Years on the Savannah River Site, Island Press, Washington DC, USA.
- Klaus, J., J.J. McDonnell, C.R. Jackson, E. Du, and N.A. Griffiths. 2015. Where does streamwater come from in low-relief forested watersheds? A dual-isotope approach, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 19:125-135.
- Sigman, D.M., K.L. Casciotti, M. Andreani, C. Barford, M. Galanter, and J.K. Böhlke. 2001. A bacterial method for the nitrogen isotopic analysis of nitrate in seawater and freshwater, Analytical Chemistry 73:4145-4153.